

Date: Thu, 16 Dec 93 04:30:11 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #528
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 16 Dec 93 Volume 93 : Issue 528

Today's Topics:

 ARRL's callsign admin positio
 No more delphi post reading for me
 Question about radio pirating...
 This is getting annoying!!!!!! was No more delphi.../Why should

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Wed, 15 Dec 1993 15:53:00 GMT
From: news.cerf.net!pagesat!direct!herald.indirect.com!kg7bk@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL's callsign admin positio
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Question: I once read that there was a possibility that amateurs who were
forced to give up their calls when they moved to another district might get
first choice at the new vanity calls so as to get their old call back. What
is the status of that idea?

thanks, Cecil, kg7bk ex-W5DXP

Date: 14 Dec 93 10:38:30 -0600
From: sdd.hp.com!elroy.jp1.nasa.gov!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!uwm.edu!fnnews.fnal.gov!
fndcd.fnal.gov!levy@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: No more delphi post reading for me
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I'm adding (everybody)@delphi.com to my kill file until they start including the parts of the text to which they're replying. I don't care that the delphi newsreader won't do that - it's frustrating to read a reply and not know the context of the discussion.

--

```
=====
[ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory ]
=====
[ Mark E. Levy, N9RXF | ]
[ BitNet: LEVY@FNAL | Unix is to computing ]
[ Internet: LEVY@FNAL.GOV | as an Etch-a-Sketch is to art. ]
[ HEPnet/SPAN: FNAL::LEVY (VMS!) | ]
=====
```

Date: 11 Dec 1993 23:03:05 GMT
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!
math.ohio-state.edu!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!news.cs.columbia.edu!mix-cs!
popovich@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Question about radio pirating...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

> At one time a guy showed up on a local repeater as N2KMA (phonetics
> Kiss My A..) it was kind of obvious that if there was an N2KMA (and there
> most likely is) this person was not they.

Yeah, I'll say. Take a look at the on-line callbook listing for the
real N2KMA:

Call-Sign: N2KMA	Class: TECHNICIAN
Previously: KB2ITX	Class: NOVICE
Real Name: FRANCES STRIEGL	Birthday: NOV 2, 1952
Mailing Address: RT 10 BOX 161A, ATHENS, AL 35611	
Valid From: JAN 15, 1991	To: JAN 15, 2001

Date: Tue, 14 Dec 1993 17:46:40 GMT
From: sgi!wdl1!hgs@ames.arpa
Subject: This is getting annoying!!!! was No more delphi.../Why should
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Somebody just mentioned (no names here, but I'm sure you can find
it...):

> I'm adding (everybody)@delphi.com to my kill file until they start including
> the parts of the text to which they're replying. I don't care that the delphi
> newsreader won't do that - it's frustrating to read a reply and not know the
> context of the discussion.

This whole thread is getting to be a pain in the ass. I really don't see what this has to do with r.r.a.p. Why not just get back to the normal programming, and knock off the flaming and retrospective nonsense?

Ed was questioning this very thing himself with the original "Why should I bother?" post. Kathy and I have been HAMS for a few years, and thought that we were part of an elite group of NICE people; I guess we were wrong. Perhaps it's only when you really know someone that you can be nice to them, but when you're separated by a network then

Sorry, but this whole thing is a waste of my time, and I'm sure others too.

<soapbox off for now; I'm getting my space shuttle entry tiles put on the walls of my cube for the response I'm about to get too>

```
+=====+
| salvini@wdl.loral.com (KB6VMQ) | Happily married to Kathy    -+-| |
| Loral doesn't have a single | Jonathan - August 8, 1989      | |
| thing to do with my opinions. | Jeremy - August 8, 1993      | |
| They're mine! All mine! | ONE HAPPY DADDY! :-) Not A Typo |
+-----+-----+
| signature virus 2.1c - Copy me into your .sig if you love Jesus! |
+=====+
```

Date: 11 Dec 1993 21:40:59 GMT
From: ghost.dsi.unimi.it!univ-lyon1.fr!elendir@tcgould.tn.cornell.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2e9efb\$il1@cismsun.univ-lyon1.fr>,
<2eat91INN5ip@nighthawk.ksu.ksu.edu>, <CHuuyu.J5C@news.Hawaii.Edu>fs
Subject : Re: The 10-meters band - No CW required ?

Well, this is in extenso, but translated, the editorial of the French magazine Megahertz, november 93. It is signed by F6EEM (S. Faurez)

"Let's enjoy the new position of the UFT (French Telegraphist Union) which has just agreed upon the opening of the 28 MHz band to radio-amateurs

being not CW operators. I think that, as I do, they have understood the absolute need to have more and more traffic in this band in order to avoid its squatting (?) by "initiates" of any kind ; here lies the real problem.

Internationally (IARU), the idea is in progress but it won't be signed tomorrow !

[...]

Many old OMs have also reported that new OMs coming from CB, despite many efforts, have poor phony traffic. My OM friends, forgive them, they haven't be taught how to traffic.

It is said that more important is to know about the "radio engine" than to know how to use it. Even if the image of the French amateur airing suffers."

I agree Morse code is important. I'm learning it. But, once I'll have passed my CW exam, I still woudn't be against that breakthrough.

Vince.

--

PSG Vainqueurs de la coupe de France 1982, 1983, 1993

PSG Champions de France 1985/86 1/2 Finaliste C3: 1993

PSG PARIS SAINT GERMAIN FC --- NOTRE HISTOIRE DEVIENDRA LEGENDE.

Date: Tue, 14 Dec 1993 16:27:25 GMT

From: walter!dancer.cc.bellcore.com!not-for-mail@uunet.uu.net

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2ei144\$imm@dancer.cc.bellcore.com>, <2ei4pq\$qes@cismsun.univ-lyon1.fr>, <931213.62730.EDELLERS@delphi.com>

Subject : Re: Requiring a license to purchase ham gear,...was Re: Why isn'

In article <931213.62730.EDELLERS@delphi.com>,

Ed Ellers <EDELLERS@delphi.com> wrote:

>On a more serious note I've heard claims that it's actually illegal for a
>dealer, selling to the public, to refuse to sell to a given buyer unless for
>some reason it is actually forbidden to sell that item to that buyer. I don't
>have any details on that,.....

Ed, you may be right. The right of any business to REFUSE to serve (or sell) to anyone may be prohibited in the civil rights type legislation. In other words...if there is no specific federal or state law that requires a license (ham) to be able to purchase ham equipment, then it may, in fact, be illegal to NOT sell to anyone who just walks in or calls to order a piece of amateur radio transmitting equipment (e.g. the Radio Shack HT).

Standard Disclaimer- Any opinions, etc. are mine and NOT my employer's.

Bill Sohl (K2UNK) BELLCORE (Bell Communications Research, Inc.)
Morristown, NJ email via UUCP bcr!cc!whs70
201-829-2879 Weekdays email via Internet whs70@cc.bellcore.com

Date: (null)

From: (null)

> -... --- -.-- - --- .-. -.---- . . .-. -.-- .-.--

"Boy tsis guy is weird." Bit of a typo there, eh?

-Steve, WB3I

Date: 15 Dec 93 15:47:47 GMT

From: ogicse!cs.uoregon.edu!sgiblab!brunix!maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu!

md@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Dec14.172513.3952@btree.uucp>, <CI1JL6.FIE@news.Hawaii.Edu>,
<1993Dec15.145806.7270@dunix.drake.edu>

Subject : Re: Question about radio pirating...

In article <1993Dec15.145806.7270@dunix.drake.edu>,

asc002@dunix.drake.edu (VaxCat) writes:

|> Hmmm...sounds like ham operators (at least some of them) are no more
|> clean mouthed or reasonable than the folks ON 11 meters, eh?

USENET is not 11 meters.

|> Do you

|> talk this way on your ham bands?

No, because there are regulations against it. There are no such
regulations on USENET.

|> If so, why should the government

|> use MY tax money to allow the FCC to regulate your special HAM hobby

|> so you can spew filth like that into the ether?

One person's "filth" is another person's "art". Case in point:

Mapplethorpe's exhibit.

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan
-- Population Studies & Training Center
-- Brown University, Box 1916, Providence, RI 02912
-- (401) 863-7284

Date: Tue, 14 Dec 1993 15:12:20 GMT
From: netcomsv!netcom.com!greg@decwrl.dec.com
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <DTD8Dc3w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, <2ear03\$ssg@panix.com>,
<1993Dec13.170402.29209@ke4zv.atl.ga.us> p
Subject : Re: ARRL's callsign admin position

In article <1993Dec13.170402.29209@ke4zv.atl.ga.us> gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman) writes:

>

>This is a perfect example of the old boy network in action. The "cabinet"

>

>The problem with the League structure as I see it is that it's a
>one party state controlled mainly by the apparatchiki.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Wow. Cool word. Extra credit on that one, Gary :-)

Anyway, it seems to me that this whole argument (The League is bad vs. it's your League) is being looked at too ideally, and Gary is spot-on when he points out that politics do come into play.

As I pointed out to someone else, the trouble with 'majority rules' is that the 'majority' doesn't always take into account the interest of the 'minority.' Worse yet, the 'majority' usually is not a 'plurality,' but in fact is the group with the 'majority' of the power.

One of the reasons you have representative government is to give those who govern the leeway to exercise discretion and to occasionally look out for the minority that didn't elect them. Really good government is one which does what is fair, and doesn't always implement the 'majority' agenda.

The strength of representative government is that there is stability, and change happens in a slow, controlled way. The weakness of representative government is that there is stability, and change is often hard to implement as quickly as we'd like it... ...sometimes we

give up first.

Greg

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #528
